

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/511,184	<b>Applicant(s)</b> YAGI ET AL
	<b>Examiner</b> ELMER CHAO	<b>Art Unit</b> 3737

  

**All Participants:**

(1) ELMER CHAO.

(2) Melvin Kraus.

**Date of Interview:** 4 February 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

  

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

  

**Claims discussed:**

12,13,14

  

**Prior art documents discussed:**

  

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner suggested changes to the claims that would more clearly describe how the sections of the flexible circuit board are individually spirally wound.*

  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  

/Elmer Chao/

Examiner, Art Unit 3737

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)